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**ABSTRACT**

Essential oils of Tea tree (*Melaleuca alternifolia*), Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum zeylanicum*), Cloves (*Syzygium aromaticum*), lemongrass (*Cymbopogon flexuosus*), Thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*), Eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globulus*), Jojoba (*Simmondsia chinensis*) were tested for their fumigant activity against *Callosobruchus maculatus* (L.) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) adults. Percentage mortality increased with increasing concentration of different oils and exposure period. *C. zeylanicum* and *M. alternifolia* gave 90.0 % mortality at 8.0 and 16.0 µl /50 ml air, respectively, at exposure period of 24 hour for *S. oryzae*. *C. zeylanicum*, *M. alternifolia* and *T. vulgaris* essential oils gave 100% mortality at 8.0 , 16.0 and 16.0 µl /50 ml air , respectively, at exposure period of 24 hour  for *C. maculatus*. Both species were found to be highly susceptible to *C. zeylanicum* whereas the LC95 values were 3.67 and 4.7 µl /50ml air for *S. oryzae* and *C. maculatus*, respectively, at exposure period of 72 hour. *C. maculatus* was more sensitive than *S. oryzae* to the essential oils of *S. aromaticum* and *E. globulus* whereas the LC95 values were 1.032 and 3.66 µl /50ml air, respectively , while the LC95 values to *S. aromaticum* and *E. globulus* were 4.07 and 8.73µl /50ml air, respectively , for *S. oryzae* at the same exposure period.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and the cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) are two of the most widespread and destructive primary insect pests of stored cereals and stored legumes (Park *et al.* 2003; Demitry *et al.* 2007). Control of these insect populations around the world primarily depends upon applications of organophosphorus, pyrethroid insecticides and the fumigants ( i.e. methyl bromide and phosphine). These still the most effective treatments for stored food, feedstuffs and other agricultural commodities protection from insect infestation. Although effective, their repeated use for decades has disrupted biological control by natural enemies and led to outbreaks of other insect species and sometimes resulted in the development of resistance. It has had undesirable effects on non-target organisms, and fostered environmental and human health concerns (Champ and Dyte 1976; Subramanyam and Hagstrum 1995; White and Leesch 1995).

The use of methyl bromide would be prohibited in the near future because of its ozone depletion potential and high toxicity (Anonymous 1993).

These problems have highlighted the need for the development of selective insect-control alternatives with fumigant action.

Different types of aromatic plant preparations such as powders, solvent extracts, essential oils and whole plants are being investigated for their insecticidal activity including their action as repellents, anti-feedants and insect growth regulators (Prakash and Rao 1997; Isman 2000; Weaver and Subramanyam 2000). Weaver and Subramanyam (2000) suggested that fumigant activityin botanicals could have a greater potential use than grain protectants in future on the basis of their efficacy, economic value and use in large-scale storages.
More current research showed that essential oils and their constituents may have potential as alternative compounds to currently used fumigants (Singh et al., 1989; Shaaya et al., 1991, 1997; Regnault-Roger et al., 1993; Dunkel and Sears 1998; Huang and Ho 1998; Huang et al. 2000; Tunc et al. 2000; Lee et al. 2001). Major constituents from aromatic plants, mainly monoterpenes, are of special interest to industrial markets because of other potent biological activities in addition to their toxicity to insects (Kubo et al., 1994; Isman 2000; Weinzierl 2000).

Lee et al. (2001) reported toxicity of commercially available essential oils and their major compounds against S. oryzae. Based on the results of Lee et al. (2001), toxicity of 7 essential oils were tested against the 2 major stored-grain insects: Sitophilus oryzae (L.) and Callosobruchus maculatus (F.).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Essential oils:

Tea tree (Melaleuca alternifolia) Fam: Myrtaceae, Cinnamomum zeylanicum) Fam: Lauraceae, Cloves (Syzygium aromaticum) Fam: Myrtaceae, lemongrass (Cymbopogon flexuosus), Fam: Poaceae, Thyme (Thymus vulgaris) Fam: Labiatae, Eucalyptus globulus) Fam: Myrtaceae, Jojoba (Simmondsia chinensis) Fam: Simmondsiaceae, essential oils were obtained from T. Stanes Company Limited, India.

Culturing insects:

Cultures of the rice weevil, S. oryzae and the cowpea weevil, C. maculatus, were maintained under the laboratory conditions (28.0 ± 1.0 °C, 60.0± 5.0 % R.H) without exposure to any insecticide for several generations. They were reared on rice and cowpea grains, respectively, in plastic containers (26x30x20 cm³).

Fumigation bioassay:

Fumigation bioassays without grain were carried out with 10 adults exposed in 50 ml conical flasks sealed with glass adaptors fitted with injection septa. Filter papers (Whatman Number 1) were placed below the septa to capture the injected oil and to produce a large surface area for evaporation. Each flask had its volume measured by the amount of water it could contain. Different volumes of each essential oil were injected through the septa into the conical flasks using a gas syringe. Flasks were held at 28.0±1.0 °C & 60.0± 5.0 % R.H. in a constant temperature room during the exposure periods. At least 4 concentrations were tested from 0.5- 4.0 µl (Eucalyptus and Cloves), 4.0-10.0 µl (lemongrass), 4.0-16.0 µl (Thyme), 2.0-8.0 µl (Cinnamon), 6.0-16.0 µl (Tea tree) and 4.0-16.0 µl (Jojoba) for each insect. Except, the concentrations which used from Thyme oil were from 4.0-16.0 µl for C. maculatus. Five replicates were prepared for each treatment and control.

Adults of C. maculatus and S. oryzae (1-3 days old) were exposed to treatments for 24, 48 and 72 hours for each oil/concentration. After each exposure period, insects were removed and put into clean vials and mortality determined immediately. Similar units, conical flasks sealed with glass adaptors fitted with septa and filter papers (Whatman Number 1) were placed below the septa used as control containing the same number of insect and maintained at the same conditions. Insects showing any movement were considered to be alive. Mortality counts was recorded at the same exposure periods that conducted in treatments .The percentage mortality was calculated after each exposure period for each oil/concentration by Abbott equation (1925). The LC50 and LC95 values were calculated by Probit analysis (Finney 1971).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In all cases, a strong difference in mortality percentage of the insects was observed as oil concentration and exposure time was increased. Results in Table 1 show that the different oils were relatively more toxic against C. maculatus than S. oryzae adults. The plant essential oils, C. zeylanicum and M. alternifolia gave 90.0% mortality at 8.0 and 16.0 µl /50 ml air, respectively, at exposure period of 24 hour for S. oryzae. However, essential oils, C. zeylanicum, M. alternifolia and T. vulgaris gave 100% mortality at 8.0, 16.0 and 16.0 µl /50 ml air, respectively, at exposure period of 24 hour for C. maculatus. The present
findings in agreement with Negahban and Moharramipour (2007) who found that the fumigant action of essential oils of *E. intertexta*, *E. sargentii* and *E. camaldulensis* caused high mortality rate in *C. maculatus* compared with *S. oryzae*. Also, Papachristes and Stamopoulos (2002) who reported the higher susceptibility of the *C. maculatus* than *T. castaneum*. Moreover, the results indicated that higher concentrations of the oils for a relatively short period of time are much more effective than lower concentrations for a long period. However, each tested oils achieved 90-100 % mortality with *C. maculatus* at the highest concentrations at 24 hour exposure periods, except, *E. globulus* which achieved 56.0 % mortality at the highest concentration (4.0 µl/50 ml air) at 24 hour exposure period.

Table (1): Fumigant activity of the selected essential oils against *C. maculatus* and *S. oryzae* adults during 42, 48 and 72 hour exposure periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential oils</th>
<th>Dose (µl/50 ml air)</th>
<th>Mortality (%) of <em>C. maculatus</em></th>
<th>Mortality (%) of <em>S. oryzae</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Eucalyptus globulus</em></td>
<td>2.0 4.0 6.0 8.0</td>
<td>90.0 100 -- 60.0 90.0 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Syzygium aromaticum</em></td>
<td>1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0</td>
<td>100 -- 90.0 100 -- 80.0 90.0 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cinnamomum zeylanicum</em></td>
<td>0.5 1.0 2.0 4.0</td>
<td>90.0 -- 80.0 90.0 80.0 90.0 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cymbopogon flexuosus</em></td>
<td>0.0 0.5 2.0 4.0</td>
<td>-- 50.0 80.0 90.0 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thymus vulgaris</em></td>
<td>0.0 1.0 2.0 4.0</td>
<td>0.0 90.0 100 60.0 90.0 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Simmondsia chinensis</em></td>
<td>0.0 0.5 1.0 2.0</td>
<td>0.0 90.0 100 40.0 90.0 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Melaleuca alternifolia</em></td>
<td>0.0 0.5 1.0 2.0</td>
<td>0.0 90.0 100 40.0 90.0 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. maculatus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>90.0 100 -- 90.0 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. oryzae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>-- 90.0 100 90.0 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Insecticidal activity (90% mortality) was observed at concentrations 16.0, 16.0 and 8.0 µl/50 ml air at 24 h after treatment with *M. alternifolia* and *C. zeylanicum*, respectively, for *S. oryzae*. In case of *C. maculatus*, the essential oils that achieved ≥ 90% mortality were *S. aromaticum*, *C. zeylanicum*, *T. vulgaris*, *S. chinensis* and *M. alternifolia* at concentrations 4.0, 8.0, 10.0, 16.0 and 16.0 µl/50 ml air, respectively, after 24 h exposure period. However, *C. flexuosus* had no potent effect after 24h exposure period against *C. maculatus* and *S. oryzae* at the different concentrations used (Table2).

Tested essential oils used gave 90.0% mortality or above at the high concentrations and exposure period of 72 hour for *S. oryzae* and *C. maculatus*, respectively. except, *E. globulus* which achieved 88.0 % mortality to *S. oryzae* at 4.0 µl /50 ml air after 72 h exposure period. Rozman et al. (2006) found that camphor acted as fumigant caused 100% mortality to *Cryptolestes pusillus* at a dose of 1 µl /7.2ml vol.

Based on LC50 and LC95 values, the two species tested were significantly more susceptible to the essential oil of *S. aromaticum* whereas the LC50 values were 1.032 and 4.07 µl /50ml air for *C. maculatus* and *S. oryzae*, respectively. (Table 2). Lee et al. (2004) found that essential oils of *Eucalyptus nicholii*, *E. codonocarpa*, *Callistemon sieberi*, *M. fulgens*, *E. blakelyi* and *M. armillaris*, had potent fumigant toxicity against *S. oryzae*. The LD50s of these essential oils were 29.0, 19.0, 27.3, 28.6, 31.2 and 30.6 ml/l air, respectively. LD50 of these essential oils were 48.2, 53.1, 43.0, 53.6, 51.4 and 56.0 ml/l air, respectively.

Results in Table (2) show that *S. oryzae* was more sensitive than *C. maculatus* to the essential oils of *C. zeylanicum* and *T. vulgaris*. The LC50 values were 3.67 and 6.75 µl /50ml air, respectively.

Table (2). Estimated fumigant toxicity values of 7 essential oils against *C. maculatus* and *S. oryzae* after 72 hour exposure period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insect</th>
<th>Essential oils</th>
<th>LC50 (µl/l)</th>
<th>LC95 (µl/l)</th>
<th>%R.H.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C. maculatus</em></td>
<td><em>S. aromaticum</em></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Eucalyptus globulus</em></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. zeylanicum</em></td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. chinensis</em></td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>T. vulgaris</em></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. flexuosus</em></td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>M. alternifolia</em></td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. oryzae</em></td>
<td><em>S. aromaticum</em></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>E. globulus</em></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. zeylanicum</em></td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. chinensis</em></td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>T. vulgaris</em></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. flexuosus</em></td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>M. alternifolia</em></td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unit LC50s: 95% µl/50 ml air, applied for 72 h at 28.0±1.0°C & 60.0± 5.0 % R.H.

In contrast, *C. maculatus* was more sensitive than *S. oryzae* to the essential oils of *S. aromaticum*, *E. globulus* and *C. flexuosus* whereas the LC50 values were
1.03, 3.66 and 6.46 μl /50ml air, respectively. Generally adults of *Rhyzopertha dominica* and *Callosobruchus* spp. were more susceptible to essential oils or their components than those of other insect species (Ahmed and Eapen 1986; Tripathi et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2004). Negahban et al. (2006) showed that *Artemisia sieberi* Besser oil is more potent than that of ZP51 as well as *Artemisia tridentata* L. oils against the adults of *C. maculatus, S. oryzae* and *Tribolium castaneum*. These results are relatively different from the results previously reported by Lee et al. (2001) that The toxicity of essential oils to stored-product insects is influenced by the chemical composition of the oil which in turn depends on the source, season and ecological conditions, method of extraction, time of extraction and plant part used. Also, Akram et al. (2010) found that the LT₅₀ values *Thymus persicus* at the lowest and the highest concentrations were ranged from 28.09 to 13.47 h for *T. castaneum*, and 3.86 to 2.30 h for *S. oryzae*. It was found that *S. oryzae* adults were much more susceptible to the oil than *T. castaneum*. After 24 h of exposure, the LC₅₀ values (95% fiducial limit) for *T. castaneum* and *S. oryzae* were estimated to be 236.9 (186.27–292.81) and 3.34 (2.62–4.28) μl/l air, respectively.

The results of fumigant activity of some the essential oils against *S. oryzae*, and *C. maculatus*, serious pests of Croatian silos and storages, identified some possible alternatives for fumigants currently in use (Rozman et al., 2007).
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السمية البخارية لبعض الزيوت العطرية ضد خنفساء اللوبيا وسوسة الأرز

أحمد محمد عزت عبد السلام
قسم أفاث ووقاية النبات – المركز القومي للبحوث – الدقي – القاهرة

تم اختبار السمية البخارية لعدد سبعة زيوت عطرية هي زيت الشاي، القرنفل، حشيشة الليمون، والزعتر، الكافور، الجوجوبا ضد خنفساء اللوبيا وسوسة الأرز.

نتائج الاختبارات أوضحت أن نسبة الوفيات تزداد مع زيادة تركيز الزيوت وفترة التعرض. وقد وجد أن السمية البخارية لكلا من زيت القرنفل وشاي قد حققت 90% موت لسوسة الأرز عند التركيز 8.16 ميكروليتر/مل. هواء تحت ضغط 100% موت للحشرة عند التركيز 16.12 ميكروليتر/مل. ووجد أن زيت الشاي وشاي قد تأثر بأشد السمية البخارية لسوسة الأرز عند التركيز 9.17 ميكروليتر/مل. هواء بينما حقق 95% موت عند التركيز 4.72 ميكروليتر/مل. هواء تحت ضغط 72% للسوسة. ووجد ان نصفي السمية البخارية لكلا من زيت القرنفل والكافور كانت أكثر حساسية من سمية الزيوت البخارية لسوسة الأرز للقلمون، وتحل زيت النشاء للفصيلة الزنبقية والكافور حيث تحققت 95% موت عند التركيز 3.16 ميكروليتر/مل. هواء عند فترة تعرض 72 ساعة بينما في السمية البخارية للفصيلة الزنبقية والكافور حيث تحققت 95% موت عند التركيز 8.73 ميكروليتر/مل. هواء عند فترة تعرض 70 ساعة.

50 مل. هواء على التوالي لسوسة الأرز عند نفس فترة التعرض.